

SPR EA1N and EA2 PROJECTS

DEADLINE 2 - COMMENTS ON SOCIO ECONOMIC AND TOURISM CLARIFICATION NOTE

Interested Party: SASES PINS Refs: 20024106 & 20024110 Issue: 1

Title of submission/topic	Relevant content reference	SASES comment
Tourist accommodation	Paragraph 5	As previously noted the applicants' cumulative impact assessment is defective as it only considers Sizewell C in conjunction with its projects and not the other six proposed offshore energy projects.
Excess demand for accommodation	Paragraph 18	The applicants assert that significant cumulative impact is not likely to occur due to the construction programmes. However there is no certainty that those construction programmes will be a reality. In fact elsewhere in their applications the applicants are first demanding that the rights under the each DCO would be valid for seven years. Part of the reasoning being purported uncertainties with the CfD auction process. Accordingly there can be no assurance that the worst case scenario will not in fact transpire.
Excess demand for accommodation	Paragraphs 10 28	In this paragraph and elsewhere the applicant confirms that excess demand would occur in the peak tourist season. This is the most important season for the tourism economy as it is at this time that it makes the majority of its profits. Also there is no comparison between accommodation being occupied by construction workers and holidaymakers as their spending levels and patterns will be very different. Self-evidently tourists

		will spend more on a wider range of activities as they are not working whilst they are in the area.
	Paragraph 24	The applicant admits that there would be an increase excess demand from 32% (which is already high number) to 59% in peak tourist season. Despite such a high level of nonavailability of accommodation there is no analysis of how this might affect the overall tourism economy in the area. Extraordinarily the applicants assert "there would be no material change to
		the Applicants' conclusions"
Construction employment	Section 3	As previously noted the applicants' cumulative impact assessment is defective as it only considers Sizewell C in conjunction with its projects and not the other six proposed offshore energy projects.